



NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

(Nebraska Crime Commission)

Michael E. Behm, Executive Director

301 Centennial Mall South P.O. Box 94946

Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4946

Phone (402) 471-2194

FAX (402) 471-2837

NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

July 24, 2009

The Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice met Friday, July 24, 2009 at 9:30 AM in Lower Level Conference Room A of the Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, Lincoln, Nebraska. Legal notice of the meeting was published July 10, 2009 in the Lincoln Journal Star.

As amended by LB 898, 2005 Legislature, a copy of the Nebraska Open Meetings Act was available for public review.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:34 AM by Acting Chairman David Cookson. The following members were **in attendance**: Acting Chair David Cookson, Scott Arnold, Bill Brueggemann, Eric Buske, Scot Ford, Mark Montgomery, Mike Moser, James Riskowski (arrived at 9:51 AM), Fred Ruiz, Bryan Tuma, Derek Vaughn and William White. **Members excused**: Robert Houston, Susan Jacobs, Gary Lacey, Kathy Moore and Don Overman. **Staff present:** Michael Behm, William Muldoon, Bruce Ayers, Michael Overton, Jennifer Kirkpatrick, Lisa Stamm, Tiffany Mullison, Jamie Rivera and Sarah Schoen. **Others present:** Charles Lowe, Attorney General's Office and Larry Wayne, Nebraska Department of Correctional Services.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion

A motion was made by Moser and seconded by White to approve the minutes of the Crime Commission meeting of May 8, 2009; Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice meeting of June 5, 2009; Crime Commission Grant Review Committee meetings of July 6 and July 17, 2009; and the Police Standards Advisory Council meetings of April 15, May 19 and June 17, 2009. The motion passed unanimously by acclamation.

III. PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE REVOCATION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT CERTIFICATION OF MARK P. CROUSE, #LR-023-01

Charles Lowe, Assistant Attorney General, represented the State of Nebraska in the revocation of Mark Crouse's Law Enforcement certification. No counsel was present for Mr. Crouse. Lowe stated the purpose of this hearing is to review and consider approval of the

Police Standards Advisory Council's (PSAC) decision to revoke the Law Enforcement certificate of Mark Crouse.

Lowe provided the following information about the incident leading to this action:

Mark P. Crouse was certified as a Nebraska Law Enforcement Officer on November 3, 1978. He was employed as a full time trooper for the Nebraska State Patrol from November 3, 1978 until July 7, 1989. On June 15, 1998, Crouse was appointed as Sheriff for Grant County, Nebraska where he remained Sheriff until his resignation on November 1, 2001. On October 10, 2000, Crouse was charged in a criminal misdemeanor complaint, filed by the State of Nebraska, alleging one count of oppression under color of office in violation of Neb. Rev. Stat. §28-926(1), a class II misdemeanor. That charge was later amended to one count of false reporting in violation of Neb. Rev. Stat. §28-907(1) (e), an infraction. The filed complaint alleged that Crouse had provided information he knew to be false to the Box Butte County Attorneys Office concerning an individual. On May 10, 2001, Crouse appeared before the county court and pled guilty to the charge of false reporting. The court found that the guilty plea was knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently made and that a factual basis existed. The court found Crouse guilty of the charge and ordered him to pay a fine, plus court costs, and to pay restitution in the amount of \$250.00. The conviction was based on an incident that occurred while Crouse was a certified law enforcement officer and as Sheriff of Grant County, Nebraska.

After receiving a request from the Executive Director of the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, the Attorney General's Office filed a formal complaint. The action of revoking Mr. Crouse's Law Enforcement certificate was then commenced before the Police Standards Advisory Council where a hearing took place on June 17, 2009. At this hearing, there was no appearance and no opposition by Mr. Crouse or through counsel; therefore, evidence was received in the form of exhibits, and the PSAC issued its decision to revoke Mr. Crouse's certificate. This decision is now before the Commission for its review and approval.

Lowe explained that he would like to add some documents pre-marked as exhibits and offer them in as evidence with a brief description of each exhibit. Exhibit one is the Administrative Transcript which primarily shows what occurred, the formal complaint that was filed, and the findings, facts and conclusions of the PSAC. Exhibit two is the Administrative Bill of Exceptions which includes the PSAC hearing minutes, the exhibits presented at the hearing and agency records pertaining to the revocation process. Exhibit three is an Affidavit of Notice, prepared by David A. Stolz (Legal Advisor for the PSAC). This notice, and the attached documents, shows that Mr. Crouse was notified of the PSAC's decision for revocation of his Law Enforcement certificate, this particular meeting and that this hearing was on the agenda. Exhibit four is the Affidavit of Publication showing that sufficient notice was given and published in the Lincoln Journal Star. Lowe next offered exhibits 1 - 4 to be admitted into the administrative record. This was completed.

Motion

A motion was made by Buske and seconded by White to uphold the Police Standards Advisory Council's decision to revoke the Law Enforcement certification of Mark P. Crouse. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

IV. PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE REVOCATION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT CERTIFICATION OF RAMON M. MARQUEZ, #LR-035-05

Charles Lowe, Assistant Attorney General, represented the State of Nebraska in the revocation of Ramon Marquez's Law Enforcement certification. No counsel was present for Mr. Marquez. Lowe stated the purpose of this hearing is to review and consider approval of the Police Standards Advisory Council's (PSAC's) decision to revoke the Law Enforcement certificate of Ramon Marquez.

Lowe provided the following information about the incident leading to this action:

Ramon Marquez was awarded a certificate of satisfactory completion of the Law Enforcement Training Center's Basic Training course of study on June 18, 1999. He was employed as an officer by the Madison County Sheriff's Office on November 15, 1998, and then employed as an officer and then as a sergeant by the Madison Police Department until February 28, 2003, at which time he resigned per the request of the Madison Police Department. On May 9, 2005, Marquez pled guilty to a criminal charge of theft by deception of property having a value of more than \$500.00 in violation of Neb. Rev. Stat. §28-512, a class IV felony. The district court found that the guilty plea was knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently made and that a factual basis existed. The court found Marquez guilty of the charge and ordered him to serve 180 days in jail, placed on probation for 24 months and ordered to pay costs and restitution. The felony conviction was based on an incident that occurred while Marquez was a certified law enforcement officer by the Madison Police Department.

After receiving a request from the Executive Director of the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, the Attorney General's Office filed a formal complaint. The action of revoking Mr. Marquez's Law Enforcement certificate was then commenced before the Police Standards Advisory Council where a hearing took place on June 17, 2009. At this hearing, there was no appearance and no opposition by Mr. Marquez or through counsel; therefore, evidence was received in the form of exhibits, and the PSAC issued its decision to revoke Mr. Marquez's certificate. This decision is now before the Commission for its review and approval.

Lowe explained that he would like to add some documents pre-marked as exhibits and offer them in as evidence with a brief description of each exhibit. Exhibit one is the Administrative Transcript which primarily shows what occurred, the formal complaint that was filed, and the findings, facts and conclusions of the PSAC. Exhibit two is the Administrative Bill of Exceptions which includes the PSAC hearing minutes, the exhibits presented at the hearing and agency records pertaining to the revocation process. Exhibit

three is an Affidavit, prepared by David A. Stolz (Legal Advisor for the PSAC). This affidavit shows that Mr. Stolz made every attempt to locate a forwarding address for Mr. Marquez, but after lengthy research, no forwarding address was found. As a result, Mr. Marquez was not notified, via written correspondence, of the final agency hearing and provided with a copy of the Police Standards Advisory Council's findings. Exhibit four is the Affidavit of Publication showing that sufficient notice was given and published in the Lincoln Journal Star. Lowe next offered exhibits 1 - 4 to be admitted into the administrative record. This was completed.

Motion

A motion was made by Ford and seconded by Vaughn to uphold the Police Standards Advisory Council's decision to revoke the Law Enforcement certification of Ramon M. Marquez. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

V. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mike Behm presented his Executive Director's report noting the following:

- Monica Miles-Steffens has been hired as the Federal Aid Administrator for the ARRA Grant Funds.
- There are currently three revocations awaiting formal complaints to be filed by the Attorney General's Office.
- On June 12, 2009, Behm noted that he attended and completed the State of Nebraska Fair Hearing Practices seminar sponsored by the National Judicial College.

VI. OLD BUSINESS

A. No Old Business

VII. NEW BUSINESS

A. Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center

1. Instructor Certifications

The Crime Commission next considered <u>one</u> request for **Professional Instructor Certification** and <u>five</u> requests for **Professional Instructor Recertification**. The Police Standards Advisory Council's recommendations were reported by Mark Montgomery.

Motion

A motion was made by Moser and seconded by Vaughn to grant the following instructor certifications per Police Standards Advisory Council's recommendations: <u>Professional Instructor</u>

<u>Certification</u> to Eric P. White, Omaha Police Department; and <u>Professional Instructor</u>
<u>Recertification</u> to Jeff Crouch, Omaha Police Department, Stefan Davis, Omaha Police
Department, Michael R. Gorden, Omaha Police Department, Kurt R. Sorys, Omaha Police
Department and John R. Supeh, Omaha Police Department. Voting in favor of the motion:
Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and
White. Motion carried unanimously.

2. 2009 Lincoln Police Academy Inspection

William Muldoon reviewed the recommendations of the Police Standards Advisory Council on the inspection of the Lincoln Police Academy.

Motion

A motion was made by Ford and seconded by Ruiz to approve the Lincoln Police Academy Inspection per the Police Standards Advisory Council's recommendations. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

B. Release of Crime Statistics in Nebraska

Cookson informed the Board that a copy of the Press Release regarding the Crime Statistics in Nebraska was emailed to them prior to this meeting. If for any reason a Board member did not receive this Press Release, a copy of it can be found on the Crime Commission's website.

C. Award of 2008 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Title V Formula Grant Funds - \$48,360

Tiffany Mullison reviewed the funds available under the 2008 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Title V formula grant program. She stated they had \$48,360 available for award. She stated two applications were received, with two applications being approved for funding. Each applicant was also awarded an additional \$6,680 in order to fully allocate the funds available. A 50% match is required of both applicants, and both applicants informed Mullison they would be able to meet this requirement.

Motion

A motion was made by Vaughn and seconded by Buske to accept the Coalition's funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined for the \$48,360 of 2008 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Title V Formula Grant Funds. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #1

D. Award of 2008 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) Funds - \$377,055

Mullison reviewed the funds available under the 2008 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) Funds. She informed the Board that five applications were received on April 3, 2009; one applicant withdrew due to ineligibility. The amount available for funding is \$377,055. Based on a formula developed by the OJJDP, cities and counties are allocated a pre-determined sum of money, and there is a match requirement of 10%. Mullison stated those eligible to apply this year were as follows: City of Lincoln, Lancaster County, City of Omaha, Douglas County and Sarpy County. An additional award goes to the Office of Health and Human Services for the School Intervention Worker Project located in five sites throughout the state. These workers are placed specifically in these schools to work with youth who are on probation. The workers will be placed in schools at the following sites: Columbus, Fremont, Grand Island, Lexington and North Platte.

Motion

A motion was made by Brueggemann and seconded by Vaughn to accept the Coalition's funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined for the \$377,055 of 2008 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) Funds. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #2

E. Award of Title II Tribal Grant, Grant #08-JJ-018

• Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska Award: \$2,823

Mullison informed the Board that each year a certain amount of Title II funds must be awarded to a Nebraska Tribe. This amount, \$2,823, was passed on from the federal government. The application process was opened to all tribes to apply; however, only one application was received. As a result, the Juvenile Justice Coalition approved to fully fund the Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska.

Motion

A motion was made by Ford and seconded by White to accept the Coalition's funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined for the \$2,823 of the Title II Tribal Grant, Grant #08-JJ-018. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #3

F. Approval of DMC Grant #08-JJ-019 (Title II) for \$36,241

Mullison stated this application did not go before the Coalition for review. She stated that this application will fund the statewide DMC Coordinator. This position is required by the OJJDP to meet the four core requirements in accordance with the JJDPA act. This application requests funds in the amount of \$36,241. Mullison informed the Board that a contract is currently in place with Doug Kramer who has served in this capacity in prior years.

Motion

A motion was made by Buske and seconded by Vaughn to award this application in full for the amount of \$36,241 to the DMC Grant #08-JJ-019 (Title II). Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

G. Update on Withdrawn Grantee for Juvenile Services

Mullison reported that applicant 09-JS-405 (SCARED program from Superior, Nebraska in Nuckolls County) was withdrawn because there was recent notification that one of the vocal conveyors of this program for the community has passed away. After her passing, community Board members met and respectfully requested to withdraw their application for funding. Mullison stated that a letter was received on June 29, 2009 requesting such withdrawal. Dottie Anderson, Financial Monitor, and Mullison offered to travel out to Superior and offer technical assistance for this program; however, the Board requested to withdraw them from this award. This money will be carried over into next years' award monies.

H. Update on Withdrawn Grantees for County Aid

Jamie Rivera reported that the following County Aid grants are considered to be withdrawn:

- Grant #07-CA-519, Howard County \$6,596
- Grant #07-CA-525, Phelps County \$21,676
- Grant #07-CA-536, Cherry County \$5,739
- Grant #08-CA-519, Dawes County \$8,222

The total amount to be considered withdrawn is \$42,233. The rationale behind these subgrantees being withdrawn is that they either did not turn in their contingencies or their signed grant award and their special conditions. The contingencies for each program are sent in a letter after the initial Juvenile Justice Coalition meeting. A final letter is then sent after the Crime Commission meeting informing of them of any other changes or requirements that will need to be met and providing them with a due date in which they must have these contingencies or grant award and special conditions submitted by. The above programs did not meet their outlined requirements.

I. Award of 2009 Violence of Crime Act (VOCA) Grant Funds – \$2,503,996

• Contingent upon receipt of federal award

Lisa Stamm stated that the funds available for victim service programs total \$2,503,996, which is a \$470,046 increase from 2008. This amount includes \$127,027 which was carried over from 2008 withdrawn programs, and \$52,559 which was received in state appropriated funds. The estimated 2009 federal grant award is \$2,324,410, which is contingent upon receipt of federal award.

Forty-one applications were received by the Commission. Of the 41 applications, two were new programs. Stamm stated they had approximately \$748,000 over in requests for funding. Two requests were denied per the federal VOCA audit. After all recommendations were finalized during the first phase of review, there was \$23,941 left over. Both the Staff Review and Grant Review Committees decided to carry this money over to next year because of unstable and unknowing funding sources from VOCA.

Stamm reported that on July 14th, DeLano Foster with the Office for Victims of Crime performed a program monitor. During Mr. Fosters monitor, it was found that for grant #09-VA-236, Nebraska Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Coalition, will not be able to be funded as a VOCA "grant". This is due to the fact that per VOCA Federal Regulations, this program does not provide direct services but is a main administrative function. This grant will now have to be funded through a contract and continued to be supported straight out of Administration funds. They will have to be treated as a contracted service. This grant was recommended for \$59,482.

Stamm next informed the Board that Mr. Foster also noted that the Nebraska CASA Association-CASA Volunteer Program, grant #09-VA-206 will no longer be able to receive funding since it is not a "direct services" provider. Stamm reported they requested that Mr. Foster go to his supervisor for further review as they did not fully agree with this finding. Unfortunately, it was still decided that they had to deny the CASA program for VOCA funding. This grant was recommended for funding at \$17,601. This money will be put back into aid for next year funding.

Stamm next discussed with the Board the two new programs that applied for funding. She explained all the requirements the programs will have to meet in order to receive funding.

Motion

A motion was made by Moser and seconded by White to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined by the Grant Review Committee for the \$2,503,996 Violence of Crime Act (VOCA) Grant Funds contingent upon receipt of federal award. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #4

J. Approval of the ARRA VAWA Implementation Plan

Stamm reported that for the requirements of the 2009 VAWA ARRA funds, the Crime Commission had to develop and submit an implementation plan. Upon receipt of the VAWA ARRA funding, members from the VAWA Advisory volunteer group participated in a focus group to develop this plan. These members represented law enforcement, prosecution, probation, courts, the Coalition and various non-profit victim services providers statewide. The focus group met on May 4, 2009 with the purpose of gathering information regarding the gaps and needs that continue to remain across the state of Nebraska.

The Crime Commission staff identified five top priorities they wanted to focus on for this particular funding. These five priorities consist of coordinated response teams, offender accountability, training and technical assistance, the needs of unserved and underserved victims and victim services and safety. After much discussion, members of the focus group decided four of the five priority items should be considered for the ARRA VAWA funding. These consist of unserved and underserved victims, victim services and safety, community response teams and training and technical assistance. Crime Commission staff then drafted the implementation plan and disbursed this plan to focus group members for comment and critique. Under each priority identified for the ARRA VAWA implementation plan, Crime Commission staff and focus group members identified specific potential programmatic activities for funding.

Motion

A motion was made by Buske and seconded by Ruiz to approve the ARRA VAWA Implementation Plan. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTCHMENT #5

K. Award of 2009 BYRNE/JAG/STATE Funds – Total Award: \$1,916,053.51; Local Projects Award: \$1,169,736.51; State Projects Award: \$746,317

Kirkpatrick reported the award of the 2009 BYRNE/JAG/STATE funds will be contingent upon receipt of federal award.

She stated there is a total of \$1,916,053.51 available to award. The amount received by the State and Local entities is dictated by a formula set in place by the Federal Government. The amount available for award to the Local Projects is \$1,169,736.51, and the amount available for award to the State Projects is \$746,317. This amount does include turn-back funds from 2007, 2008 JAG and 2008 Meth initiative earmarked funds.

Kirkpatrick reported that 14 applications were received for these monies, and there were \$1,847,898.99 over in requests.

Motion

A motion was made by Brueggemann and seconded by Vaughn to accept the Grant Review Committee's funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined for the 2009 BYRNE/JAG/STATE Funds — Total Award: \$1,916,053.51; Local Projects Awards: \$1,169,736.51; and State Projects Award: \$746,317 contingent upon receipt of federal award. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske (Abstained from Grant #09-DA-308), Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma (Abstained from Grant #09-DA-305), Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #6

L. Award of 2009 Project Safe Neighborhoods Grant Funds - \$88,153

Kirkpatrick reported the 2009 funds were applied for at the beginning of July 2009. She stated there was a delay in this announcement because of the stimulus funds. This year, there was a 15% cut in funds on top of the 67% cut in funds from 2008. Nebraska applied for \$88,153, and a conference call was held on July 9, 2009 with the PSN Statewide Task Force for the budget to be approved. The budget was approved by the PSN Statewide Task Force and recommended that the Crime Commission give final approval. These funds are contingent upon receipt of federal award.

Motion

A motion was made by Vaughn and seconded by Tuma to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined for the \$88,153 in 2009 Project Safe Neighborhoods Grant Funds contingent upon receipt of federal award. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

M. Award of 2009 Office of Violence and Prevention Grant Funds - \$350,000

Kirkpatrick reported that during the 2009 Legislation, LB63 was passed establishing the Office of Violence Prevention (OVP). This created a funding stream and an office which consists of a Director and an advisory council who are responsible for developing, fostering, promoting and assessing violence prevention programs.

On June 4, 2009, the Crime Commission was notified that administration of the funds needed to take place prior to appointment of this office. Steps were taken to announce funds on June 18, 2009. Letters of intent were due by July 10, 2009 and received by the Crime Commission Staff. In addition, the Crime Commission Grant Review Committee met on July 17, 2009 to review and make recommendations for funding. The amount available for award is \$350,000. Eighteen letters of intent were received and the requests exceeded the amount available for award by \$1,166,900. Each letter of intent was reviewed, and funding was focused on projects that appeared to have the greatest benefit to the state and have goals to reduce street and gang violence, homicides and injuries caused by firearms. For programs to do this

effectively, communities must develop a multi-faceted approach that includes violence prevention activities, intervention and enforcement, punishment when necessary and rehabilitation. Currently those recommended for funding are Platte Valley Diversion, and a few programs that came in from the Omaha area. Discussion was then held and recommendations were made to have one fiscal project director of the funds that will involve all the funded partners, which had to be specifically identified by the Omaha area. It was volunteered by the City of Omaha to fill this roll, and it was recommended by a vote.

Those that will be funding partners in this plan and are recommended to go along with the City of Omaha are: Urban League of Nebraska, Boys and Girls Club, Omaha Minority Community Development Fund, Omaha Police Department and Douglas County Attorney's Office. At this time, it is not the point to exclude any of the non-funded partners from future planning but to concentrate the funds on the programs that will be beneficial to starting up the program. The next steps after these programs have been approved for award will be to complete a grant information plan. Once this grant information plan is approved, they will be able to draw down the funds. In addition, within the first six months of the program, the OVP Project will need to develop and submit a comprehensive violence prevention plan. This plan will be reviewed by OVP and will be utilized as a main focal point for the activities in this area.

A lengthy discussion ensued regarding the OVP and what it all entails.

Motion

A motion was made by Vaughn and seconded by Ruiz to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations for the \$350,000 in 2009 Office of Violence and Prevention grant funds. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske (Abstained from Grant #09-VP-5012), Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn (Abstained from Grant #09-VP-5016) and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #7

VIII. OTHER BUSINESS

Motion

A motion was made by Buske and seconded by White to form a representative group to look at narcotics and drug investigation statewide and the strategy of the state to develop a comprehensive plan. Voting in favor of the motion: Arnold, Brueggemann, Buske, Ford, Montgomery, Moser, Riskowski, Ruiz, Tuma, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

The next scheduled meeting of the Commission will be Friday, November 20, 2009 at 9:30 AM in the Nebraska State Office Building, Lower Level Conference Room A, Lincoln, Nebraska.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:01 AM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sarah J. Schoen

Administrative Assistant

Title V JJDP Incentive Grants 2008

| Number | Agency—City(Program Title) (2007 Award) | Amount lequested | 13/14/2005 | Amount commendedS taff Rvw. | Amount Recomm NCJJ | 98.00 | Amount ecommended Crime Comm. |
|----------|---|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| 08-JP-30 | Douglas Co-Omaha (Talented Tenth Scolars) (\$30,000) | \$ 30,000.00 | \$ | 30,000.00 | \$ 36,680.00 | \$ | 36,680.00 |
| 08-JP-31 | Platte Valley Diversion- Columbus (Bilingual Diversion Officer) (\$0) | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ 11,680.00 | \$ | 11,680.00 |

TOTALS \$ 35,000.00 \$ 35,000.00 \$ 48,360.00 \$ 48,360.00

Juvenile Accountability Block Grant - 2008

| Number | Agency—City(Program Title) (2007 Award) | Amount Requested | Red | Amount commendedS taff Rvw. | Amount Recomm NCJJ | Amount Recommended Crime Comm. |
|-----------|--|---------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 08-JA-600 | Sarpy CoPapillion (Juvenile Reporting Center) (\$14,485) | \$ 15,484.00 | \$ | 15,484.00 | \$ 15,484.00 | \$ 15,484.00 |
| 08-JA-601 | City of Lincoln-Lincoln (Block Grant JABG Program) (\$63,770) | \$ 68,951.00 | \$ | 68,951.00 | \$ 68,951.00 | \$ 68,951.00 |
| 08-JA-602 | City of Omah-Omaha (City of Omaha/Douglas Co JABG Phase 11) (\$98,675) | \$ 104,956.00 | \$ | 104,956.00 | \$104,956.00 | \$ 104,956.00 |
| 08-JA-603 | NE HHSS-Lincoln (Juvenile Acct. Plan) (\$175,615) | \$ 175,615.00 | \$ | 175,615.00 | \$187,664.00 | \$ 187,664.00 |

TOTALS

\$

365,006.00 \$ 365,006.00 \$377,055.00 \$

377,055.00

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska

Grant #08-JJ-18

Title: Winnebago Youth Probation Field Trip

| Grant Req. | Applicant Match | Total |
|------------|-----------------|---------|
| \$4,385 | \$0 | \$4,385 |
| 100% | 0% | 100% |

Previous Crime Commission Funding: \$7,000 [\$2,823 (07-JJ-18); \$4,177.00 (06-JJ-21)]

Recommendations

Recommend award of \$2,823, with the following contingencies:

- Submit Certified Assurances, Certifications Regarding Lobbying: etc., and EEOP Certification with authorized signatures and date; the forms submitted were not dated.
- Respond to comments/questions in each section of the Grant Review Committee Comments listed below.
- Submit revised Budget Summary and Budget Narratives addressing the issues in the budged section and reduced amount of award.
- The Winnebago Tribe will contact Julie Rogers for assistance in developing a Three Year Comprehensive Juvenile Justice Plan.

GRANT REVIEW COMMITTEE COMMENTS:

General Comments:

- Application was clear, concise and compelling.
- Insert page numbers in the application; only the first 5 pages are numbered.
- No matching funds are required; however, designating cash or in-kind matching funds is encouraged to show support of the project. Could the volunteer time of the adult sponsors be shown as in-kind match (5 adults x \$9/hour x 24 hours = \$1,080). Can the gift cards from the Winnebago Tribe be shown as in-kind match?

Budget:

Budget Narrative length of speaker presentation does not match length of
presentation time on Category B – Consultants and Contracts. Budget Narrative
refers to 2 hours of presentation; Budget compensates presenter for 4.5 hours.
Revise Budget Narrative to reflect travel and preparation time at 2.5 hours for Jim
Bennett and Lawrence Chatters.

- Meal cost for breakfast cannot exceed \$7/person; amount budgeted is \$10/person.
 Lunch cost cannot exceed \$11/person; amount budgeted is \$15/person. Dinner
 cost cannot exceed \$18/person; amount budgeted is \$20/person. Correct and
 resubmit Category C Travel Expenses and Budget Narrative to fall within the
 approved meal allowance guidelines.
- Category D Supplies and Operating Expenses budget line item was calculated incorrectly. Total amount requested for Fund Plex is \$485; correct amount is \$455.

Problem Statement:

- The Community Description table is not completed; complete and resubmit this table.
- The problem statement speaks to recidivism; the description of the problem speaks to truancy and the problems of placing youth in a secure facility eight hours away.

Project Operation:

- Clear and concise description of the activities for youth.
- Sustainability plan shows identification of challenges access to a grant writer and plan to address the challenges.

Evaluation Section:

• In the Evaluation section, include information on the number of youth participating; number of youth completing the evaluation tool and results from the evaluation.

Letters of Support/Commitment:

• No letters were included in the application.

Continuation Information:

• The Continuation Information table shows 28 youth completed the program; what is the status of the 4 youth accepted in the program that did not complete the program? Explain the reason they did not complete the program.

NCJJ COMMENTS & RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Coalition agrees with the staff/grant review comments and contingencies and recommends an award of \$2,823.

| | | | The state of the s | Control of the Contro | | |
|------------|--|---------------|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| | | Requested | Recommended Staff Rvw. | Recommended Grant Review | Recom | Recommended Crime Comm. |
| 09-VA-200 | Parent-Child Center-Lexington (Continuation of Direct Services) (\$48.818) | \$ 72.538.00 | \$ 68.000.00 | 00.000.00 | 69 | 68.000.00 |
| 09-VA-201 | DA/SA Services-McCook (Victim Asst.) | | | | . 4 | 53 650 00 |
| 09-VA-202 | (Victim/Witness Lexington (Victim/Witness Lexington (Victim/Witness Lexington) | | | | → € | 00.000 |
| 00 1/1 203 | Offile (\$25,432) | | | 9 20,000,00 | Ð | 30,000.00 |
| 03-W-502 | Scotts Dian co-Gening (v/vv Asst. Oilit) (\$29,498) | \$ 33,477.31 | \$ 33,000.00 | 33,000.00 | છ | 33,000.00 |
| 09-VA-204 | NE Crime Commission-Lincoln (VINE Operations IX) (\$179,698) | \$ 201,262.00 | \$ 201,262.00 | \$ 201,262.00 | 69 | 201,262.00 |
| 09-VA-205 | Platte Co. AttyColumbus (Victim Asst. Unit) | | | | *************************************** | 28 332 00 |
| 09-VA-206 | NE CASA Assoc -1 incoln (CASA Volunteer | | | | € | 20,302.00 |
| | Trng.) (\$7,645) | \$ 17,601.00 | \$ 17,601.00 | \$ 17,601.00 | DENY | |
| 09-VA-207 | Child Advocacy Center-Lincoln (\$20,735) | | | | \$ | 28,000.00 |
| 09-VA-208 | Project Response-Auburn (DV/SA) (\$49,148) | \$ 60,206.00 | \$ 57,806.00 | \$ 57,806.00 | ↔ | 57,806.00 |
| 09-VA-209 | City of Beatrice-Beatrice (Gage Co. VA Program) (\$33,872) | \$ 47,872.00 | 00'000'88 \$ | \$ 38,000.00 | €> | 38,000.00 |
| 09-VA-210 | Sarpy Co. Victim Unit-Papillion (Victim Asst.) (\$104,143) | \$ 127,382.00 | \$ 115,000.00 | \$ 115,000.00 | 69 | 115,000.00 |
| 09-VA-211 | Crisis Center-Fremont (Rural Outreach Program) (\$34.276) | \$ 46,934.00 | \$ 42,000.00 | \$ 42,000.00 | | 42,000.00 |
| 09-VA-212 | Douglas Co. Attorney-Omaha (Victim Asst.) (\$333,235) | 4 | 8 | 8 | | 360,000.00 |
| 09-VA-213 | City of South Sioux City-So. Sioux City (Victim Asst. Program) (\$29,667) | \$ 39,264.00 | | | | 38,000.00 |
| 09-VA-214 | Adams Co. Attorney-Hastings (Victim/Witness Unit) (\$25,432) | \$ 43,245.65 | \$ 30,000.00 | \$ 30,000.00 | 6 | 30,000.00 |
| 09-VA-215 | Heartland Family Service-Papillion (Heartland Family Service) (\$26,245) | \$ 56,961.00 | \$ 38,000.00 | \$ 38,000.00 | ↔ | 38,000.00 |
| 09-VA-216 | Friendship Home-Lincoln (DV Shelter) (\$74,557) | \$ 89,068.00 | \$ 78,000.00 | \$ 78,000.00 | (A | 78,000.00 |
| 09-VA-217 | Family Rescue Services-Chadron (DV/SA Services) (\$37,426) | \$ 86,221.00 | \$ 44,272.00 | \$ 44,272.00 | €9 | 44,272.00 |
| 09-VA-218 | Sandhills Crisis Intervention Program- Ogallala (Victim Asst.) (\$40,522) | \$ 49,520.00 | \$ 49,520.00 | \$ 49,520.00 | 69 | 49,520.00 |
| 09-VA-219 | Catholic Charities-Omaha (The Shelter Child Abuse Victim Asst.) (\$26,425) | \$ 38,250.00 | \$ 26,426.00 | \$ 26,426.00 | €9- | 26,426.00 |
| 09-VA-220 | Hope Crisis Ctr-Fairbury (Victim Asst.) (\$74,825) | \$ 95,457.00 | \$ 85,000.00 | \$ 85,000.00 | ₩ | 85,000.00 |

| 09-VA-221 | LPD V/W Unit-Lincoln (LPD V/W Unit) (\$100,442) | \$ 137,936.00 | \$ 125,000.00 | \$ | 125,000.00 | €9 | 125,000.00 |
|-----------|--|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| 09-VA-222 | Bright Horizons-Norfolk (Domestic & Sexual Violence Asst. Program) (\$43,642) | \$ 58,314.00 | \$ 50,000.00 | (A) | 50,000.00 | ↔ | 20,000.00 |
| 09-VA-223 | City of David City-David City (Butler/Polk Co. VA) (\$18,714) | \$ 21,237.00 | \$ 21,237.00 | 49 | 21,237.00 | ₩ | 21,237.00 |
| 09-VA-224 | Seward County-Seward (Victim Asst. Program) (\$0) | | | ₩ | 7,582.00 | ω, | 7,582.00 |
| 09-VA-225 | Rape/DA Program-No. Platte (Rape/DA Program) (\$52,112) | | r. | €9 | 56,000.00 | vs | 56,000.00 |
| 09-VA-226 | Dodge CoFremont (Victim Services) (\$11,465) | \$ 19,968.00 | \$ 15,000.00 | 69 | 15,000.00 | 69 | 15,000.00 |
| 09-VA-227 | YWCA-Omaha (DV/SA Service for Crime Victims) (\$0) | \$ 143,835.00 | \$ 83,000.00 | ь | 83,000.00 | € 0 | 83,000.00 |
| 09-VA-228 | -G.I. (SA | \$ 92,827.00 | \$ 82,000.00 | € | 82,000.00 | ↔ | 82,000.00 |
| 09-VA-229 | Voice of Hope-Lincoln (DV/SA Advocacy Project) (\$69,475) | \$ 76,259.00 | \$ 69,175.00 | ↔ | 69,175.00 | v) | 69,175.00 |
| 09-VA-230 | City of Grand Island-G.I. (Victim Asst. Program) (\$27,907) | \$ 53,616.00 | \$ 45,116.00 | € | 45,116.00 | ₩ | 45,116.00 |
| 09-VA-231 | CEDARS Youth Services-Lincoln (CEDARS Family Violence Services) (\$19,503) | \$ 19,503.00 | \$ 19,503.00 | ₩ | 19,503.00 | ₩. | 19,503.00 |
| 09-VA-232 | Center for SA/DV Survivors-Columbus (Victim Service & Outreach Project) (\$33,902) | \$ 65,965.00 | \$ 45,000.00 | ↔ | 45,000.00 | ↔ | 45,000.00 |
| 09-VA-233 | Lincoln County Attorney-No. Platte (Victim Witness Unit) (\$0) | \$ 33,871.00 | \$ 33,871.00 | ↔ | 33,871.00 | ↔ | 33,871.00 |
| 09-VA-234 | The SAFE Ctr-Kearney (Advocates for Victims of Domestic & Sexual Violence) (\$45,760) | \$ 60,159.00 | \$ 51,000.00 | ↔ | 51,000.00 | ↔ | 51,000.00 |
| 09-VA-235 | City of Kearney-Kearney (Crime Victim Asst. Program) (\$35,225) | \$ 86,698.00 | \$ 50,000.00 | € | 50,000.00 | € | 50,000.00 |
| 09-VA-236 | Nebraska DV/SA Coaltion-Lincoln (Statewide Data & TA Project) (\$58,879) | \$ 59,482.00 | \$ 59,482.00 | €9 | 59,482.00 | DENY | |
| 09-VA-237 | Madison Co. Attorney-Madison (Victim Witness Unit) (\$26,425) | \$ 30,000.00 | \$ 29,000.00 | \$ | 29,000.00 | ↔ | 29,000.00 |
| 09-VA-238 | DOVES Program-Gering (DOVES Scottsbluff Co.) (\$39,831) | \$ 42,000.00 | \$ 42,000.00 | \$ | 42,000.00 | ↔ | 42,000.00 |
| 09-VA-239 | North Central Quad CoValentine (Satellite Office & Outreach Advocate) (\$0) | \$ 31,639.40 | \$ 21,000.00 | \$ | 21,000.00 | ↔ | 21,000.00 |
| 09-VA-240 | Haven House Family Services-Wayne (Continuation of Victim Services) (\$38,137) | \$ 43,221.00 | \$ 43,221.00 | 8 | 43,221.00 | <i></i> | 43,221.00 |
| 09-VA-241 | SASA Crisis Center-Hastings (SASA Advocacy Project) (\$53,129) | \$ 87,485.00 | \$ 70,000.00 | \$ | 70,000.00 | ₩ | 70,000.00 |

2,402,973.00

2,480,056.00 \$

2,480,056.00 \$

\$ 2,958,074.36 \$

| Nebraska Commission on Law |
|---|
| Enforcement and Criminal Justice |
| 2009 VAWA ARRA Implementation |
| Plan |

| Grant #2009-EF-S6-0040 |
|---|
| Project Period: 5/1/2009 – 4/30/2011 |
| Date Submitted: |

In accordance with the requirements of the 2009 VAWA ARRA funds, the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice (Nebraska Crime Commission) submits the following Implementation Plan.

Plan Development Process

The Nebraska Crime Commission has an existing VAWA Advisory voluntary group that meets to make recommendations for formula VAWA STOP funds. The members of this committee represent law enforcement, prosecution, probation, courts, Nebraska Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Coalition, non-profit victim services agencies, victim witness units and representatives for unserved/underserved populations. Upon notice of the receipt of VAWA ARRA funding, members of the committee volunteered to participate in a focus group to develop the VAWA ARRA implementation plan. These members represented law enforcement, prosecution, probation, courts, Nebraska Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Coalition, and non-profit victim services agencies statewide. Additional volunteers from law enforcement agencies, non-profit victim services agencies, rural communities and underserved populations were called upon for their participation to ensure complete representation of the State of Nebraska.

Nebraska Crime Commission staff convened the focus group on May 4th, 2009. The primary purpose of the meeting was to gain insight and gather information regarding the gaps and needs that remain across the state, and suggestions for solutions in improving the criminal justice system's response to victims of domestic violence and sexual assault. Subsequently, how will the VAWA ARRA funds best be spent to address identified issues across the state.

Crime Commission staff assessed the seven priorities outlined in the 2007-2009 VAWA State Implementation Plan. These priorities are: Coordinated Response Efforts and Coordinated State Efforts, Legislation Issues, Offender Accountability, Public Awareness and Education, Training and Technical Assistance, Needs of Unserved and Underserved Victims, and Victim Services and Safety. Upon review of the ARRA requirements and assessment of the Nebraska VAWA plan, staff identified five (5) priority topics they wanted the focus group to consider which included: Coordinated Response Efforts and Coordinated State Efforts, Offender Accountability, Training and Technical Assistance, Needs of Unserved and Underserved Victims and Victim Services and Safety.

The focus group convened and discussed the five priorities listed above. Throughout the planning session, members were reminded of the VAWA ARRA priorities and

Recovery Act performance Objectives. After much discussion, the members of the focus group determined that four (4) of the five (5) priority items should be considered for the ARRA VAWA Implementation Plan: Unserved and Underserved Victims, Victim Safety and Services, Community Response Teams and Training and Technical Assistance.

Nebraska Crime Commission staff drafted the implementation plan and disbursed it to focus group members for comment. Revisions were made and the final implementation plan was approved by the Nebraska Crime Commission Board at their July 24, 2009 meeting.

Program Focus

Under each priority identified for the ARRA VAWA Implementation plan, Crime Commission staff and focus group members identified specific potential programmatic activities for funding. These specific activities have been identified as gaps/needs in the 2007-2009 VAWA state plan and through interaction with current sub-grantee's statewide.

Underserved and Unserved Victims

1. Recruitment and retention of bilingual advocates statewide.

While Nebraska has been making progress in hiring bilingual advocates, the progress has been slow. Funding cuts have made it difficult to hire and/or retain qualified applicants. ARRA funds will be beneficial in continuing efforts to hire bilingual staff as well as provide pay increases or differentials for these staff.

2. Expanded outreach to underserved and non-English speaking communities by enhancing community partnerships.

There are many barriers that exist in reaching out to unserved and underserved populations. Adding bilingual staff will not only assist in breaking down communication barriers, but will assist in building relationships and crossing cultural barriers that often hamper victims efforts to reach out and access services. We will continue to research evidence based models for building these relationships.

3. Expand staff and transportation opportunities in rural and highly isolated communities.

Due to funding cuts, many existing programs in rural areas have not been able to expand services due to limited staff. ARRA funds will assist in rebuilding capacity to provide services to isolated areas.

Training and Technical Assistance

1. Increase training on Firearms legislation and enforcement of the law.

The Nebraska Legislature passed new firearms legislation in the 2009 session. Retraining of judges, probation and law enforcement will need to be conducted utilizing ARRA funds.

2. Increase technical training.

Many programs have requested training for their staff on basic and advanced computer applications such as Word, Powerpoint, Excel, etc. as well as on developing databases, working with statistics, etc. Providing funding for such training will allow programs to operate more efficiently and effectively.

3. Purchase new computers for non-profit victim service agencies.

In early 2001/02 the Crime Commission received a grant that provided new computer equipment to the VAWA programs statewide. Many programs have not had funds to update or purchase new equipment for new staff, etc. ARRA funds will help update needed equipment and software to ensure programs have compatible and reliable resources.

Community Response Teams:

1. Training on evidence based collection and evidenced based prosecution.

It has been identified that there have been problems with prosecution of cases due to inexperienced and/or poor evidence and prosecution. Statewide training will assist in educating criminal justice professionals statewide.

2. Implementation of new CRT's.

Several communities applied for FY VAWA funds to begin a new CRT in their area. There was not enough funding available, therefore, ARRA funds will be crucial in getting new CRT's started across the state. This will be a significant step in addressing the Recovery Act requirement of job creation and retention.

- 3. Create and distribute a statewide attorney pro bono list
- 4. Public relation campaign to increase free/reduced legal services for victims of domestic violence and sexual assault.

Victim Safety and Services:

1. Training of new SANE nurses.

This training effort will build capacity within hospitals statewide for specialized nurses to serve victims coming into hospitals and clinics. These positions will need to be a partner of the local SANE taskforce.

2. Purchase of new SANE equipment.

Many rural hospitals and clinics do not have the funding to have the equipment necessary for the SANE nurses after they are trained. Funding will provide the nurses with the best possible resources to do their job.

Activity Evaluation

The Nebraska Crime Commission has an established quarterly activity reporting requirement for all sub-grantee's. VAWA ARRA sub-grantee's will be required to adhere to this quarterly reporting requirement. Sub-grantee's will be required to report on all federally required performance measures as well as specific goals and objectives developed for their projects.

Grant Distribution

The Nebraska Crime Commission has an established process for the announcement and distribution of grants. A competitive announcement will be made notifying all eligible applicants statewide through a mailing and posting the announcement on the Crime Commission website. Applicants go through a three (3) tiered review process: Crime Commission staff review; VAWA Advisory Committee grant review; and final approval at the NE Crime Commission meeting. Formal critique sheets and discussion are used to evaluate the merits of the grant as well as consider responsivity factors such as urban, rural and underserved and unserved populations. The Crime Commission will adhere to the Act requirement of 10% distribution to culturally specific community based organizations.

Allocation of Funds

The Nebraska Crime Commission will ensure that of the total award:

- At least five percent will be allocated for state and local courts including juvenile courts.
- At least 25 percent will be allocated for law enforcement.
- At least 25 percent will be allocated for prosecutors; and.

- At least 30 percent will be allocated for nonprofit, nongovernmental victim services, of which at least 10 percent is to be distributed to culturally specific community based organizations.
- The remaining 15 percent will be used for discretionary projects.

Distribution of Administrative Funds

- Administrative funds will be utilized to pay our current VOCA/VAWA grants
 administrator position (.10 FTE) for two years. Then we have our Grants Division
 Chief (.10 FTE) for two years, two clerical staff (.10 FTE each) for two years, three
 budget and accounting staff (.05 FTE each) for two years and two information
 technology staff (.10 FTE each) for two years. Total personnel is estimated to be
 \$88,000.
- We intend to pay 20% of the costs of the online grants application project from these funds ... total cost is estimated to be \$54,600.
- Financial monitors \$5,000 per year for two years = \$10,000.
- Audit costs ... \$5,000 per year for two years = \$10,000.
- Travel Costs...\$1,500 per year for two years = \$3,000
- Total identified costs = \$165,600. With these estimates, we will over-spend our budgeted funds by \$43,882. We will adjust these allocations as needed to remain within the budgeted funds.

Summary

The State of Nebraska has developed a VAWA ARRA Implementation plan that enhances the current VAWA state plan. Funds will be instrumental in preserving and enhancing existing programs and staff as well as building capacity and creating new programs and services. Key stakeholders will remain involved in all aspects of the funds and the Nebraska Crime Commission will continue to apply to all current grant policies and procedures to these funds.

2009 Byrne Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) Available to award \$1,217,337.51 Over in requests \$1,847,898.99

| Number | Agency—City(Program Title) (2008 Award) | Amount Requested | Amount Recomm Staff Rvw. | Amount Recomm Grant Rvw. | Amount Crime Commission Recommendations |
|------------|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 09-DA-300* | NE Crime Commission | | | | |
| | (Drug & Violent Crime | | | | |
| | Trng) (\$40,713) | \$ 72,638.50 | \$44,950.00 | \$44,950.00 | \$44,950.00 |
| 09-DA-301 | Scotts Bluff Co. (WING) | | | | |
| | (\$64,474) | \$ 395,854.00 | \$175,000.00 | \$175,000.00 | \$175,000.00 |
| 09-DA-302 | City of Norfolk (SNARE) | | | | |
| | (\$31,097) | \$ 106,200.00 | \$90,000.00 | \$90,000.00 | \$90,000.00 |
| 09-DA-303 | NE Crime Commission | | | | |
| | (CJIS) (\$23,145) | \$ 67,435.00 | \$57,214.00 | \$57,214.00 | \$57,214.00 |
| 09-DA-304 | City of Beatrice (SEADE) | | | | |
| | (\$19,097) | \$ 115,523.00 | \$53,136.00 | \$53,136.00 | \$53,136.00 |
| 09-DA-305* | | | | | |
| | XX) (\$148,155- | | | | |
| | JAG)(\$101,483-Meth) | \$ 640,079.00 | \$443,000.00 | \$443,000.00 | \$443,000.00 |
| 09-DA-306* | 1 - | | | | |
| | (CODE) (\$91,300-Meth) | \$ 269,805.00 | \$105,300.00 | \$105,300.00 | \$105,300.00 |
| 09-DA-307* | | | | | |
| | (\$91,300-Meth) | \$ 91,300.00 | \$91,300.00 | \$91,300.00 | \$91,300.00 |
| 09-DA-308 | City of Omaha (METRO) | | | | |
| | (\$78,037) | \$ 200,000.00 | \$180,000.00 | \$180,000.00 | \$180,000.00 |
| 09-DA-309 | | | | | |
| | City of Lincoln | | | | |
| | (Linc/Lancaster Co. Invest | | | | |
| | Narcotics Coop) (\$67,839) | \$ 539,292.00 | \$300,000.51 | \$300,000.51 | \$300,000.51 |
| 09-DA-310 | City of Fremont (III Corps) | | | | |
| | (\$70,026) | \$ 196,007.00 | \$135,000.00 | \$135,000.00 | \$135,000.00 |
| 09-DA-311 | NE Atty General's Office | | | | |
| | (Drug & Violent Crime Unit) | | | | |
| | (\$109,357) | \$ 220,101.00 | \$156,153.00 | \$156,153.00 | \$156,153.00 |
| 09-DA-312 | NE Dept. of Corrections | | | | |
| | (Intelligence Sharing | | D-1 = D-1 | | |
| | Initiative) (\$12,518) | \$ 55,785.75 | \$45,000.00 | \$45,000.00 | \$45,000.00 |
| 09-DA-313 | Phelps County (CANDO) | | | | |
| | (Withdrew) | \$ 95,216.25 | \$40,000.00 | \$40,000.00 | \$40,000.00 |

TOTAL

\$ 3,065,236.50 \$ 1,916,053.51 \$ 1,916,053.51 \$

1,916,053.51

^{*} Received ear marked Meth dollars

2009 Office of Violence Prevention (OVP) Available to award \$350,000.00 Over in requests \$1,166,900.00

| Number Agency—C | | | Amount Requested | Amount Recomm Staff Rvw. | Amount Recomm Grant Rvw. | Crime Commission Recommendations |
|-----------------|---|-----|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 09-VP-5000 | Comm DV Intervention | | | | | |
| | Program | \$ | 43,840.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5001 | Oasis Counseling International | \$ | 171,513.00 | Deny | | |
| 09-VP-5002 | Bright Horizons | \$ | 100,262.00 | | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5003 | Dawson County | \$ | 100,262.00 | Deny Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5004 | Urban League of Nebraska | \$ | 110,000.00 | \$80,000.00 | Deny \$80,000.00 | Deny \$80,000.00 |
| 09-VP-5005 | South Omaha Comm Care Council | \$ | 139,640.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5006 | Boys & Girls Club | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 |
| 09-VP-5007 | Dakota County | \$ | 57,500.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5008 | Victory Boxing Club | \$ | 12,000.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5009 | Platte Valley Diversion | \$ | 110,000.00 | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000.00 |
| 09-VP-5010 | City of Omaha Housing Auth. | \$ | 50,000.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5011 | Lincoln Police Dept. | \$ | 102,500.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5012 | City of Omaha Omaha PD | \$ | 120,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000.00 |
| 09-VP-5013 | Omaha Minority Comm Development Fund | \$ | 200,000.00 | Deny | \$40,000.00 | \$40,000.00 |
| 09-VP-5014 | Black Men United | \$ | 2,740.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5015 | NE Institute of Forensic Sciences | \$ | 300,000.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| 09-VP-5016 | Douglas Co. Atty. | \$ | 80,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 |
| 09-VP-5017 | Big Brother/Big Sisters | \$ | 92,520.00 | Deny | Deny | Deny |
| *Evaluat | tion Funds for Om | aha | Project | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |

TOTAL

\$ 1,516,900.00

\$350,000.00

\$350,000.00

\$350,000.00